

REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed on August 8, 2008, claims 1 to 21 are amended and the Applicant would like to respectfully requests that the patent application be reconsidered and allowed.

An item-by-item response to Examiner's objections or rejections is provided in the followings:

1. *Rejection of Claims Under 35 USC 103:*


The Examiner rejects claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivette et al., US 5,991,780 A priority filed 11/19/1993 in view of Krause et al., US 5,625,827 filed 12/23/1994.

In response to Examiner statement that "a naming term is merely what is designated by the alpha-numeral that is searched for" on Office Action Page 52 the fourth line from the bottom of Page 52, and "Associating both the name "bolt" and the number "12" in association with the graphic is more informative, but essentially duplicative", on Office Action Page 53, the Applicant hereby respectfully amend "naming term" to descriptive naming term". Specifically, the naming term is not merely what is designated by the alpha-numeral that is search for" but also descriptive that provide additional information that is comprehensively understandable when review the descriptive term together with the graphic element. For this reason, the display of the descriptive naming term as now amended is not "duplicative because such descriptive add to the understanding in addition to the alpha-numeral designation for the graphic element.

With the claims as now presented and the reasons provided above, the applicant hereby respectfully requests that Examiner's rejections under 35 USC § 103 be withdrawn and the present application be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,
Bo-In Lin.

By



Bo-In Lin -- Attorney, Registration No. 33,948
13445 Mandoli Drive, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022
(650) 949-0418 (Tel), (650) 949-4118 (Fax)